

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV	ERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	0:		
AIRS ID#: 1110112 DAT	E: 8/17/07	ARRIVE: <u>1345</u>	DEPART: <u>1540</u>		
		111111	<u> </u>		
FACILITY NAME: PRE	STIGE AB READY MIX				
FACILITY LOCATION:	4190 Selvitz Road				
	FT. PIERCE 34981				
RESPONSIBLE OFFICI	AL: MICHAEL MAHONEY	PHON	E: (561)478-9980		
CONTACT NAME: Greg Leone		PHONE: (465)070-1			
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: 3/8/2007 (effective d			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u>	COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	eck 🗹 only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANC	E MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIGNIFICA	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emissi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ions tests conducted during this	site visit according to EPA M	lethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	Yes □ No	
2. Are emissions from	2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment				
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted					
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
4. Are emissions from	n the weigh hopper (batcher) ope 'Yes", then continue on to questi	eration controlled by the silo	dust collector? (If answer	_	
skip 4.a) and 4.b) a	and continue on to question 5.)		🖂		
	ng operation in operation during ble emissions test, was the batch			Yes ∐ No	
	he weigh hopper (batcher) opera			Yes No	
from the silo dust c	collector, are the visible emission	ns tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector	×. 🗆 ×	
conducted while ba	atching at a rate that is representa	ative of the normal batching	rate and duration?	Yes ∐ No	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
(cneck v appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	e ⊠Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?) ⊠Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after th test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
 Is this facility: 1) a stationary ∑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Zonly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)————————————————————————————————————	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	g ∐Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)						
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
TI (* 15.11 (D.1 (2.20(200(4))) F.1 (C.)						
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	4.1					
Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant wiscience have	take reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:	ad visuado, visirios aball incluido amo au mano af the fallaccina.					
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas	nd yards, which shall include one or more of the following: , stock piles, and yards? Yes	No				
	st-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	NO				
emissions?	\times Yes	No				
	her paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	110				
	s to reduce airborne particulate matter? \BYes \BY	No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of		110				
	\(\text{Yes}\)	No				
	gate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \bigsilon Yes					
o) use of spray can, ename, of partial energy to make		1,0				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	S – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.					
A. New or Modified Process Equipment						
1. Since the last inspection has there been		7				
a) installation of any new process equipment?		No				
		No				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially of		1 27				
		No				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the						
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.	PAC) to the appropriate DEP of	l NI.				
local program office?		NO				
Robert J Duke	8/17/07					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
	9/17/08					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					
COMMENTS: Operateor Mr Leone was cautioned about the i	importance of watering down the work area.					